NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
[□Professor Promotion / □Professor Changes of Appointment] Review Table for □Full-time/□Part-time Faculty Members in the __ Semester of Academic Year _____

August 1, 2018—Formulated and passed by the College Affairs Meeting

Name of the faculty member applying for a promotion:
Unit: department/graduate institute

Item		Maximum score	Basic information		Score given by College Faculty Evaluation Committee members		
					Circle the revised score	Score subtotal	Total score
Teaching (30 points)	Courses taught and teaching contributions, including core courses	10	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
	Teaching materials and plans	10	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
	Teaching evaluation and improvement measures (teaching process and reflection)	10	The department/graduate institute's sources of evaluation data	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
Research (50 points)	Publications	45	Content and quality Originality and significance	Score given by the external reviewer	+7 +6 +5 +4 +3 +2 +1 0 -1 –2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7		
	Paper presentation	5	Clarity and responses	Score given by the College Faculty Evaluation Committee based on the applicant's paper presentation	0 +1 +2 +3 +4 +5		

Service and collaboration (20 points)	Years of service	5	Date of arrival at the university: (MM/DD/YYYY) Date of appointment to current position: (MM/DD/YYYY) Teacher's certificate number: (MM/DD/YYYY) Approved employment start date: (MM/DD/YYYY) Years of service:	Signature/seal of the Office of Personnel:	(This item will be <u>scored based on the decision made by the</u> Faculty Evaluation Committee during the College Faculty Evaluation Committee meeting.)		
	Service participation	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1 0 -1		
	Student guidance	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1 0 -1		
	Collaboration situations	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1 0 -1		
Notes:	1. Reviewers should use the "○" symbol to circle the scores; <u>handwritten modifications will be ignored</u>. The committee will appoint inspectors to calculate the total score. <u>Reviewers are not allowed to write any text or symbols. If the total scores given by **committee members** are **higher and lower than** the score **upper and lower limits**, respectively, **they will be adjusted to the respective upper or lower limits**, respectively.</u> 2. Items that are not circled or have invalid scores will be calculated based on the scores given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committees or the average score given by other reviewers. 3. A score of 70 or above is considered a passing vote for promotion. 4. The promotion will be approved if at least two-thirds of the College Faculty Evaluation Committee members accept the application.						

Note: In case of discrepancies or inconsistencies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
[□Associate Professor Promotion / □Associate Professor Changes of Appointment] Review Table for □Full-time/□Part-time Faculty Members in the __ Semester of Academic Year _____

Name of the faculty member applying for a promotion:
Unit: _____ department/graduate institute _____

Item		Maximum score	Basic information		Score given by College Faculty Evaluation Committee members		
					Circle the revised score	Score subtotal	Total score
Teaching (30 points)	Courses taught and teaching contributions, including core courses	10	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
	Teaching materials and plans	10	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
	Teaching evaluation and improvement measures (teaching process and reflection)	10	The department/graduate institute's sources of evaluation data	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+2 +1 0 -1 -2		
Research (40 points)	Publications	35	Content and quality Originality and significance	Score given by the external reviewer	+7 +6 +5 +4 +3 +2 +1 0 -1 –2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7		
	Paper presentation	5	Clarity and responses	Score given by the College Faculty Evaluation Committee based on the applicant's paper presentation	0 +1 +2 +3 +4 +5		

Service and collaboration (30 points)	Years of service	15	Date of arrival at the university: (MM/DD/YYYY) Date of appointment to current position: (MM/DD/YYYY) Teacher's certificate number: (MM/DD/YYYY) Approved employment start date: (MM/DD/YYYY) Years of service:	Signature/seal of the Office of Personnel:	(This item will be <u>scored based on the decision made by the</u> Faculty Evaluation Committee during the College Faculty Evaluation Committee meeting.)		
	Service participation	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1　0　-1		
	Student guidance	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1　0　-1		
	Collaboration situations	5	(Please refer to the attachments submitted)	Score given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committee	+1　0　-1		
Notes:	1. Reviewers should use the "○" symbol to circle the scores; <u>handwritten modifications will be ignored</u>. The committee will appoint inspectors to calculate the total score. <u>Reviewers are not allowed to write any text or symbols. If the total scores given by **committee members** are **higher and lower than** the score **upper and lower limits**, respectively, **they will be adjusted to the respective upper or lower limits**, respectively.</u> 2. Items that are not circled or have invalid scores will be calculated based on the scores given by the department/graduate institute faculty evaluation committees or the average score given by other reviewers. 3. A score of 70 or above is considered a passing vote for promotion. 4. The promotion will be approved if at least two-thirds of the College Faculty Evaluation Committee members accept the application.						

Note: In case of discrepancies or inconsistencies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.